



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/021,495	10/29/2001	Yosuke Kimoto	SONY JP 3.3-033 DIV	3372	
	7590 07/26/2007 VID, LITTENBERG,	EXAMINER			
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			SHANG, ANNAN Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/021,495	5	KIMOTO, YOSUKE			
		Examiner		Art Unit	<del></del>		
		Annan Q. S	hang	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THI 36(a). In no ever will apply and will , cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION at, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tation to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11 Ma</u> . This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is no	or formal matters, pro		nerits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)☐ 6)⊠ 7)☐ 8)☐ <b>Applicati</b> 9)☐ 10)☐	Claim(s) 1,3,8 and 12 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,3,8 and 12 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	wn from con r election re er. epted or b)[ drawing(s) be tion is require	quirement.  objected to by the Earheld in abeyance. See dif the drawing(s) is objected to be a second to be a s	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	• •		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/07.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alexander et al (6,177,931) in view of Killian (6,163,316).

As to claim 1, note the **Alexander** reference figure 1, discloses systems and methods for displaying and recording control interface with TV programs and program scheduling information and further discloses a receiver for receiving broadcast signals and program guide information, the receiver comprising:

A receiving unit (TV Receiver 'TVR' 10 or Information Box 24 Receiver, fig.1, col.2, line 62-col.3, line 20 and col.5, lines 21-45) operable to receive broadcast signals (see figs.1 and 20 of WO 96/07270);

A storage unit (TVR-10 Memory, col.5, lines 21-45) operable to store content information indicating whether content displayed immediately before turning off a power source of the receiver is a program guide or a program and when the content displayed immediately before turning off the power source of the receiver is a program, to store genre information indicating the genre of the program (col.7, lines 1-17); and

A display controller (Processor/Display Controller, col.5, lines 21-45) operable in response to the power source being subsequently turned on, to display the program

guide when the content information indicates that the program guide was displayed immediately before turning off the power source of the receiver, to display a currently broadcast program when the content information indicates that the program was displayed immediately before turning off the power source of the receiver and the currently broadcast program is listed in a list of favorite programs, and (iii) to display the currently broadcast program when the content information indicates that the program was displayed immediately before turning off the power source of the receiver and the currently broadcast program is not listed in the list of favorite programs but is of the genre indicated by the stored genre information (col.7, lines 1-17).

Alexander teaches a TVR, which when the Guide is the default mode, and the user turns on the TV, the first thing the viewer sees is the guide and further permits the viewer to override the default mode to set a desired mode (last watched channel + its genre, guide mode, etc.,) to display the last-watched channel, favorite channel, etc., when the viewer turns on the TV (col.30, line 47-col.31, line 8).

Alexander is silent to displaying a list of recommended programs and where if the currently broadcast programs and automatically performing action for the user as to a list of recommended programs stored and not stored.

However, **Killian** monitors a viewer's profile information, suggest programs to the viewer and further discloses performing other functions for the user based on the generated profile of the viewer (figs.3, 6, 7, col.2, lines 1-42, col.11, line 50-col.12, line 7, col.14, line 13-col.15, line 4 and col.16, line 8-col.17, line 1+).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teaching of Killian into the system of Alexander in order to generate a list of recommended programs for the viewer and further configure the viewer's terminal to display the last-watched channel, including the Guide channel, furthermore configure the viewers display, to display other recommended programs of interest based on the viewer's profile, including desire genre, thereby reducing the viewer's search for desired channels or programs upon turning on the TV and furthermore to draw the viewer's attention to the last-watched program or last-watched channel before the TV was turn-off.

As to claim 3, the claimed "In a receiver having a power source, a method comprising..." is composed of the same structure elements that were discussed with respect to the rejection of claim 1.

Claim 8 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

Claim 12 is met as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 8 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The amendment to all the claims necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection discussed above. **This office** action is made Final.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,495

Art Unit: 2623

# Conclusion

Page 5

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Williams et al (5,977,964) disclose method and apparatus for automatically configuring a system based on a user's monitored system interaction and preferred system access times.

Shibutani et al (5,371,550) disclose TV receiver with auto-tuning system.

Chang (5,438,377) discloses channel selecting apparatus and a method thereof.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Annan Q. Shang** whose telephone number is **571-272-7355**. The examiner can normally be reached on **700am-400pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Christopher S. Kelley** can be reached on **571-272-7331**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the **Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)**. If you would like assistance from a **USPTO Customer Service Representative** or access to the automated information system, **call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000**.

Annan Q. Shang